CLACKAMAS COUNTY CIVIL MOTIONS GUIDELINES

JUNE 2019

Civil Motions have been heard by full time and pro-tem circuit court judges. The pro-tem judges have primarily taken on the work of hearing and deciding pretrial motions in civil actions that are not assigned specially to a judge. Set forth below are guidelines or statements regarding rulings on the most common pre-trial motions. The guidelines do not have the force of law or court rule; they are not binding on any judge. They are not a pre-determination of any question presented on the merits to a judge in an action. In every proceeding before a judge of this court, the judge will exercise independent judicial discretion in deciding the questions presented by the parties.

1. ARBITRATION

- A. Motions Once a case has been transferred to arbitration, all matters are to be heard by the arbitrator, except (l) motions to amend pleadings that will add a party or parties to the case, or (2) where a party shows cause why a motion should not be decided by the arbitrator. (UTCR 13.040(3);
- B. Punitive Damages Where the actual damages alleged are less than \$50,000, the pleading of a punitive damages claim which may be in excess of the arbitration amount does not exempt a case from mandatory arbitration. For cases in mandatory arbitration, the arbitrator has the authority to decide any motion to amend to claim punitive damages. The arbitrator's decision may be reconsidered by a judge as part of de novo review under UTCR 13.040(3) and 13.100(1).

2. **DISCOVERY**

A. Medical Examinations (ORCP 44)

- a. *Vocational Rehabilitation Exams* Vocational rehabilitation exams have been authorized when the exam is performed as part of an ORCP 44 examination by a physician or a psychologist.
- b. Recording Exams and Presence of Third Persons Audio recordings, videotaping or presence of a third person are generally denied absent a showing of special need (e.g., young age of patient.)
- c. *Pretrial disclosure* of the gross amount of income a medical examiner received from forensic work and the amount of the examiner's charges can be ordered for the prior three years. The information is permitted to be provided by an affidavit or declaration from the examiner, instead of the underlying documentation. The examination itself is not conditioned on the disclosure of the information.

B. <u>Depositions</u>

- a. Attendance of Experts Attendance of an expert at a deposition has generally been allowed but has been reviewed on a case-by-case basis upon motion of a party.
- b. Attendance of Others Persons other than the parties and their lawyers are generally not allowed to attend a deposition, but a party may apply for an exception based on a showing of need.
- c. Out-of-State Parties A non-resident plaintiff is normally required to appear at plaintiff's expense in Oregon for deposition. Upon a showing of undue burden or expense, the court has ordered, among other things, that plaintiff's deposition occur by electronic means with a follow-up personal appearance deposition in Oregon before trial. Non-resident defendants normally have not been required to appear in Oregon for deposition at their own expense. The deposition of non-resident corporate defendants, through their agents or officers, normally occurs in the forum of the corporation's principal place of business. However, the court has ordered that a defendant travel to Oregon at either party's expense, to avoid undue burden and expense and depending upon such circumstances as whether the alleged conduct of the defendant occurred in Oregon, whether defendant was an Oregon resident at the time the claim arose, and whether defendant voluntarily left Oregon after the claim arose.
- d. *Videotaping* Videotaping of discovery depositions has been allowed with the requisite notice. The notice must designate the form of the official record. There is no prohibition against the use of BOTH a stenographer and a video, as long as the above requirements are met.
- e. *Speaking Objections* Speaking objections are generally not approved. ORCP 39D(3)

C. Experts

The scope of discovery under ORCP 36B(1) generally has not been extended to the identity of nonmedical experts.

D. Insurance Claims Files

An insurance claim file "prepared in anticipation of litigation" has been held to be protected by the work product doctrine regardless of whether a party has retained counsel. Upon a showing of hardship and need pursuant to ORCP 36B(3) by a moving party, the court has ordered inspection of the file in camera and allowed discovery only to the extent necessary to offset the hardship (i.e., not for production of entire file).

E. Medical Chart

a. *Current Injury* - Medical records, including chart notes and reports, have been generally discoverable in personal injury actions. These are in addition to reports from a treating physician under ORCP 44. The party who requests an ORCP 44

- report has been be required to pay the reasonable charges of the practitioner for preparing the report.
- b. *Other/Prior Injuries* ORCP 44C authorizes discovery of prior medical records "of any examinations relating to injuries for which recovery is sought."

F. Photographs

Photographs generally have been discoverable.

G. Social Media

Generally, relevant social media content has been discoverable, despite the privacy settings imposed by the account user. Data residing on social media platforms is subject to the same duty to preserve as other types of electronically stored information (ESL). The duty to preserve is triggered when a party reasonably foresees that evidence may be relevant to issues in litigation. All evidence in a party's "possession, custody. or control" is subject to the duty to preserve. Evidence generally is considered to be within a party's "control" when the party has the legal authority or practical ability to access it.

H. Tax Returns

In a case involving a wage loss claim, impaired earning capacity or business losses, discovery of current or prior tax returns for a reasonable length of time is generally allowed.

I. Witnesses

- a. *Identity* the court has required production of documents, including those prepared in anticipation of litigation, reflecting the names, addresses and phone numbers of occurrence witnesses. To avoid having to produce documents which might otherwise be protected, attorneys have been allowed to provide a "list" of occurrence witnesses, including their addresses and phone numbers.
- b. *Statements* Witness statements, if taken by a claims adjuster or otherwise in anticipation of litigation, have been held to be subject to the work-product doctrine. Generally, witness statements taken within 24 hours of an accident, if there is an inability to obtain a substantially similar statement, have been discoverable.

ORCP 36B(3) specifies that any person, whether a party or not, may obtain his or her previous statement concerning the action or its subject matter.

J. Surveillance Tapes

Surveillance tapes of a plaintiff taken by defendant have typically been protected by the work-product privilege, and not subject to production under a hardship or need argument.

3. VENUE

A change of venue forum non-conveniens (ORS 14.1100) is granted or denied depending on the circumstances presented in support of the motion.

4. MOTION PRACTICE

- A. <u>Conferring and Good Faith Efforts to Confer (UTCR 5.010)</u>"Conferring." "To confer" means to talk in person or on the phone. Generally, sending a text message or email is not considered to be conferring.
 - a. Good Faith Efforts to Confer. Because "confer" means to talk in person or on the phone, a "good faith effort to confer" is action designed to result in such a conversation. In various cases, motion judges have held that a letter to opposing counsel, even one that includes an invitation to call for a discussion, does not constitute a good faith effort to confer unless the moving attorney also makes a follow-up phone call to discuss the matter.

A phone call leaving a message must be specific as to the subject matter before it constitutes a good faith effort to confer. Likewise, a message that says simply: "This is Jane. Please call me about Smith v. Jones," is not enough. Last minute phone messages or FAX transmissions immediately before the filing of a motion have been held not to satisfy the requirements of a good faith effort to confer.

- B. Complying with the Certification Requirement. UTCR 5.010(3) specifies that the certificate of compliance is sufficient if it states either that the parties conferred, or contains facts showing good cause for not conferring. Judges have held that the certificate is not sufficient if it simply says "I made a good faith effort to confer." It must either state that the lawyers actually talked or state the facts showing good cause why they did not.
- C. <u>Copy of Complaint</u> The failure to attach a marked copy of the complaint to a Rule 21 motion pursuant to UTCR 5.020(2) has resulted in denial of the motions. UTCR I .090.
- D. <u>Bench Copies</u> The UTCR permits a court to require delivery of a copy of any electronic filings to the judge assigned to hear the motion. Responses not timely received pursuant to UTCR 5.030 will not be considered.

5. **DAMAGES**

A. <u>Non-economic Cap</u> - The court has not struck the pleading of non-economic damages over \$500,000 on authority of ORS 31.710. Post-trial, the court will determine whether the amount awarded violates the Oregon Constitution.

B. Punitive Damages

- 1. All motions to amend to assert a claim for punitive damages are governed by ORS 31 .725, ORCP 23A and UTCR Chapter 5. Enlargements of time are governed by ORS 31.725(4), ORCP 15(D) and UTCR 1.100.
- 2. A party may not include a claim for punitive damages in its pleading without court approval. A party may include in its pleading a notice of intent to move to amend a claim of punitive damages. While discovery of a party's ability to pay an award of punitive damages is not allowed until a motion to amend is granted per ORS 31.725(5), the court has allowed parties to conduct discovery on other factual issues relating to the claims for punitive damages once the opposing party has been given written notice of an intent to move to amend to claim punitive damages.
- 3. All evidence submitted must be admissible per ORS 31.725(3); evidence to which an objection is not made is deemed received. Testimony generally is presented through deposition or affidavit; live testimony has not been permitted at the hearing absent extraordinary circumstances and prior court order. Although the evidence in support of punitive damages must be clear and convincing, the standard of proof "relates how a jury weighs the evidence, not to how a trial court assesses the capability of the evidence to establish facts."
- 4. For cases in mandatory arbitration, the arbitrator has the authority to decide any motion to amend to claim punitive damages. However, the arbitrator's decision may be reconsidered by a judge as part of de novo review under UTCR 13.040(3) and 13.100(1).